



LEVELI



OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract NO0014-80- 0107

Task No. NR 359-718

9 TECHNICAL REPERT No. 3

(14) 1F. 31

Simulation of Electrochemical Processes by

Orthogonal Collocation. Spherical Electrode Geometry

bу

B. Stanley/Pons

Prepared for Publication

in the

Canadian Journal of Chemistry

(15/20-084)



E

University of Alberta Department of Chemistry Edmonton, Alberta

December 8, 1980

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited

(1, 10

80 12 15 166

DICE FILE COPY

S

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	
MD-A092 964	·
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Simulation of Electrochemical Processes by Orthogonal Collocation. Spherical Electrode	Technical Report# 3
Geometry	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)	B. CONTRACT OH GRANT NUMBER(s)
B. Stanley Pons	N00014-80-6-0107
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Department of Chemistry	NR 359-7.1.8/7-22-80
University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G2	MR 339-7.27077-22-00
II. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Office of Naval Research	December 8, 1980
Chemistry Program - Chemistry Code 472	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Arlington, Virginia 22217 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
•	·
	Unclassified
	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
This document has been approved for public release unlimited.	e and sale; its distribution
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, Il different from	n Report)
	•
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on teresse side if necessary and identify by block number)	
Digital Simulation, Orthogonal Collocation, Electro Electrochemical Kinetics	ochemical Simulation,
Discretization formulas for the digital simulat processes associated with a variety of electrochemic electrode are given. The formulas are based on orth Several mechanisms are represented, and the technique chromosmperometry, chronopotentiometry, and cyclic was a several mechanisms.	eal processes at a spherical nogonal collocation techniques. He is demonstrated for
	\sim
	<i>6</i> 1

DD 17014 1473

EDITION OF 1 HOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102- LF- 014- 6601

Unclassified
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Meloral)

Acces	sion For	
DDC T	GRA&I AB ounced fication_	1
Ву		
Distr:	ibut ion/	
_ Jvai	CO TOY C	odes
Pist	Avail and, special	or/

SIMULATION OF ELECTROCHEMICAL PROCESSES BY

ORTHOGONAL COLLOCATION. SPHERICAL ELECTRODE GEOMETRY

B. Stanley Pons
Department of Chemistry
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
T6G 2G2

This paper is dedicated to Professor W.E. Harris on the occasion of his retirement from the University of Alberta.

ABSTRACT

Discretization formulas for the digital simulation of the diffusional processes associated with a variety of electrochemical processes at a spherical electrode are given. The formulas are based on orthogonal collocation techniques. Several mechanisms are represented, and the technique is demonstrated for chronoamperometry, chronopotentiometry, and cyclic voltammetry. Orthogonal collocation techniques for the simulation of second order partial differential equations have been demonstrated for a variety of problems in electrochemistry [1-7], chemical engineering [8-11], differential scanning calorimetry [12], and other fields [13]. The advantages over other methods have been described, but noteworthy is generally increased accuracy for decreased computational effort [1-7].

In electrochemical diffusion problems, the algorithms needed to compute concentration profiles, chronopotentiograms, chronoamperometric responses, spectrophotometric responses of individual species, cyclic voltammograms, and chronocoulometric responses, all to planar electrode configurations, have been given. This paper deals with some of the same experiments applied to a common configuration, the static spherical electrode (Figure 1).

The programs for solving the equations described herein have been given [7]. Basically, the orthogonal collocation technique is implemented by the following steps:

- 1. Make the Fick's laws equations suitably dimensionless.
- Discretize the resulting equations at the roots (zeros) of an orthogonal polynomial of suitable symmetry. The resulting set of equations are now first order ordinary differential equations.
- 3. Integrate the set of simultaneous differential equations to obtain the set of concentration profiles with respect to distance from the electrode surface and with time.
- 4. Use these concentrations in the suitably discretized equations to obtain the desired electrochemical parameter (current, absorbance, etc.).

Chronoamperometry

We will only consider diffusion on the solution side of the electrode-solution interface. For a chronoamperometric experiment on the simple charge transfer mechanism at a spherical electrode

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 &$$

we have the following imposed conditions:

$$[A]_{R,0} = [A]_{\infty,T} = [A^{\circ}]$$

$$[A]_{r_{0},T} = [B]_{\infty,T} = [B]_{R,0} = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{\delta[A]}{\delta R}\right)_{R=r_{0}} = -\left(\frac{\delta[B]}{\delta R}\right)_{R=r_{0}}$$
(2)

where R is the distance parameter (radial) from the center of the spherical electrode and r_0 = the radius of the electrode. Since interpolation methods using orthogonal polynomials are simpler to use in a [0,1] interval, we will define M as some distance in the R direction such that no diffusion effects are experienced there during the time frame of the experiment. The differential equation for the A species is:

$$\frac{\delta[A]}{\delta T} = \frac{D_A \delta^2[A]}{\delta R^2} + \frac{2D_A}{R} \frac{\delta[A]}{\delta R}$$
 (3)

For dimensionless variables, we choose

$$c_{\Lambda} = \frac{[\Lambda]}{[A^{\circ}]}$$
 $t = \frac{DT}{(M-r_0)^2}$ $r = \frac{R-r_0}{M-r_0}$ (4)

We note then that

$$dT = \frac{(M-r_0)^2}{D_A} dt, \text{ and}$$

$$dR = (M-r_0) dr$$
(5)

Substitution of the new variables (4) into (3) gives

$$\frac{D_{A}[A^{\circ}] \delta c_{A}}{(M-r_{0})^{2} \delta t} = \frac{D_{A}[A^{\circ}] \delta^{2} c_{A}}{(M-r_{0})^{2} \delta r^{2}} + (\frac{2D_{A}}{(M-r_{0})r+r_{0}}) \frac{[A^{\circ}] \delta c_{A}}{(M-r_{0}) \delta r}$$
(6)

which, after simplification, leads to

$$\frac{\delta c_{A}}{\delta t} = \frac{\delta^{2} c_{A}}{\delta r^{2}} + \frac{2}{r + \beta} \frac{\delta c_{A}}{\delta r}$$
(7)

where

$$\beta = \frac{r_0}{M-r_0}$$

The general spatial derivatives in terms of their polynomial interpolation coefficients [1] are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dQ}{dX} \end{pmatrix}_{X=X_{i}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N+2} A_{i,j} Q(X_{j,t})$$
(8a)

$$\left(\frac{d^2Q}{dx^2}\right)_{x=x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N+2} B_{i,j} Q(x_j,t)$$
(8b)

where N is the order of the approximation polynomial chosen, the X_i represents the roots of that polynomial collocation points, and the A_{ij} and B_{ij} are given by [10]

and

$$\{B_{ij}\} = \begin{bmatrix} d^2x_1^0/dx^2 & d^2x_1^1/dx^2 & ---- & d^2x_1^{N+2}/dx \\ d^2x_2^0/dx^2 & & & \\ d^2x_{N+2}^0/dx^2 & ---- & d^2x_{N+2}^{N+2}/dx \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ s \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$
 (10)

The matrix [S] is given by

$$[S] = \begin{bmatrix} x_0^0 & x_0^1 & ---- & x_0^{N+2} \\ x_1^0 & ---- & x_{N+2}^{N+2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

The algorithms for finding the roots of any orthogonal polynomial and the corresponding $[A_{ij}]$ and $[B_{ij}]$ matrices have been given previously [9]. The N+2 terms arise because X=0 and X=1 are included as roots to the orthogonal polynomial. The concentration profile will be evaluated at the collocation point, i.e. at coencentric spheres surrounding the spherical electrode. Each sphere has radius $r=X_1$, X_2 , X_3 , etc., i.e. at the collocation points.

Equation (7) is thus written in terms of (8a) and (8b) at the discrete points \mathbf{X}_i :

$$\frac{dc_{\mathbf{A}}}{dt} \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}} & \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i$$

Thus we have N+2 simultaneous first order differential equations to solve for the N+2 $c_A(r_j,t)$ unknowns. Any one of several methods may be used for solving this system of equations $\{1,4,9\}$.

Inserting the boundary conditions (2) further defines the electrochemical method used, and reduces the number of equations from N+2 to N. This is done by first expanding equation (12) partially, exposing the boundary points at $r_1=X_1=0$ and $r_{N+2}=X_{N+2}=1$:

$$\frac{dc_{A}}{dt}\Big|_{r_{i}=X_{i}} = B_{i,1}c_{A}(0,t) + B_{i,N+2}c_{A}(1,t) + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} B_{ij}c_{A}(r_{j},t) + \frac{2}{r_{i}+\beta} \left[A_{i,1}c_{A}(0,t) + A_{i,N+2}c_{A}(1,t) + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} A_{ij}c_{A}(r_{j},t) \right]$$
(13)

We have from the original boundary conditions (2) that $c_A(0,t) = 0$ and $c_A(1,t) = 1$, so that (14) becomes

$$\frac{dc_{A}}{dt} \Big|_{r_{i}=X_{i}} = B_{i,N+2}^{N+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} B_{ij}c_{A}(r_{j},t) + \frac{2}{r_{i}+\beta} \left[A_{i,N+2}^{N+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} A_{ij}c_{A}(r_{j},t) \right]$$
(14)

So we have one equation to solve at each of the N interior collocation points X_i , i = 2, ...N+1 for the N unknown $c_A(r_i, t)$.

The concentration profile for the B species is derived similarly, and leads to identically the same result as equation (12):

$$\frac{dc_{B}}{dt} \begin{vmatrix} x_{i} = x_{j} \\ x_{i} = x_{j} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{N+2} B_{ij} c_{B}(r_{j}, t) + \frac{2}{r_{i} + \beta} \sum_{j=1}^{N+2} A_{ij} c_{B}(r_{j}, t)$$
(15)

Partial expansion leads again to equation (13) with $c_B(r_j,t)$ substituted for the $c_A(r_j,t)$. However, even though it is true that one boundary

condition, $c_B(i,t) = 1$ may be immediately substituted, the other boundary condition $c_B(0,t)$ is not known explicitly. This problem is easily resolved in collocation methods. We observe that the flux relation

We will let $D_A = D_B$ here for convenience even though it presents no problem in setting up the equations if we do not [1,4]. The flux relation is replaced by equation (8a) on each side:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N+2} A_{1,j} c_{A}(r_{j},t) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N+2} A_{1,j} c_{B}(r_{j},t)$$
(17)

Partially expanding so that known conditions may be inserted, we have

$$A_{1,1}^{c} c_{A}^{(0,t)+A_{1,N+2}} c_{A}^{(1,t)+\sum_{i=2}^{N+1}} A_{1,j}^{c} c_{A}^{(r_{j},t)} =$$

$$-[A_{1,1}^{c} c_{B}^{(r_{j},t)+A_{1,N+2}} c_{B}^{(1,t)+\sum_{i=2}^{N+1}} A_{1,j}^{c} c_{B}^{(r_{j},t)}]$$
(18)

Inserting known quantities, we deduce

$${}^{A_{1,N+2}}_{i=1}^{+\sum\atop i=1}^{K}{}^{A_{1,j}}c_{A}(r_{j},t) = -\{A_{1,1}c_{B}(0,t) + \sum\limits_{i=2}^{K}{}^{A_{1,j}}c_{B}(r_{j},t)\}$$
(19)

Solving for our unknown boundary condition,

$$c_{B}(0,t) = U + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} c_{1,j}(c_{A}(r_{j},t) + c_{B}(r_{j},t))$$
 (20)

where

(22

$$U = \frac{A_{1,N+2}}{A_{1,1}} , \text{ and}$$

$$c_{1,j} = \frac{A_{1,j}}{A_{1,1}}$$

We can now substitute this explicit value for $c_B(0,t)$ in equation (15), along with $c_B(1,t)=0$ to obtain the equation necessary to represent the concentration profiles for B:

$$\frac{dc_{B}}{dt}\bigg|_{r_{j}=X_{i}} = V_{i} + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} D_{ij}c_{A}(r_{j},t) + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} E_{ij}c_{B}(r_{j},t)$$
 (21)

where

$$V_{i} = B_{i,1}T + \frac{2A_{i,1}U}{r_{i}+\beta}$$

$$D_{ij} = C_{i,j} \left[B_{i,1} + \frac{2A_{i,1}}{r_{i}+\beta} \right]$$

$$E_{ij} = B_{ij} + C_{i,j} \left[G_{i,1} + \frac{2A_{i,1}}{r_{i}+\beta} \right] + \frac{2A_{ij}}{r_{i}+\beta}$$

Again we have N equations in the N unknown $c_{B}(r_{j},t)$ to solve simultaneously.

Table 1 compares results obtained for the current obtained by equation (33) and the analytic solution for this case, which is

$$i = \frac{nFAD_A^{1/2}[A^\circ]}{\pi^{1/2}T^{1/2}} + \frac{nFAD_\Lambda[A^\circ]}{r_0}$$

We use, by example, $D_A = 1. \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$, $[\Lambda^\circ] \approx 10^{-6} \text{ moles cm}^{-3}$, $A = \pi r_0^2$, $r_0 = 0.1 \text{ cm}$, and $n \approx 1$. β for the example was 10^4 .

For the mechanism,

$$\begin{array}{c} k \\ A \Longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \end{array}$$

the profile for the A species is the same as equation (14). The B species has a different profile due to loss of material through the chemical reaction, i.e.

$$\frac{\delta[B]}{\delta t} = D_B \frac{\delta^2[B]}{\delta R^2} + \frac{2D_B}{R} \frac{\delta[B]}{\delta R} - k[B]$$
 (23)

After discretization to the collocation points and insertion of the dimensionless variables (4), we have

$$\frac{dc_{B}}{dt} \begin{vmatrix} x_{i} = x_{i} \\ x_{j} = 1 \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{N+2} B_{i,j} c_{B}(r_{j,t}) + \frac{2}{r_{i} + \beta} \sum_{j=1}^{N+2} A_{i,j} c_{B}(r_{j,t}) - \alpha c_{B}(r_{i,t})$$
(24)

where
$$\alpha = \frac{k (M-r_0)^2}{D_B}$$

Continuing precisely as for the simple electron transfer case, except with the addition of this last chemical kinetic term, we find that

$$\frac{dc_{B}}{dt} = V_{i}^{N+1} D_{ij}^{C_{A}}(r_{j}, t) + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} E_{ij}^{C_{B}}(r_{j}, t) - \alpha c_{B}^{C_{j}}(r_{j}, t)$$
(25)

For the catalytic mechanism

$$A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C + A \tag{26}$$

both the A and B profiles are modified by the presence of the chemical reaction. The differential equations are

$$\frac{\delta[A]}{\delta t} = D_A \frac{\delta^2[A]}{\delta R^2} + \frac{2D_A}{R} \frac{\delta[A]}{\delta R} + k[B]$$
 (27)

$$\frac{\delta[B]}{\delta t} = D_B \frac{\delta^2[B]}{\delta R^2} + \frac{2D_A}{R} \frac{\delta[B]}{\delta R} - k[B]$$

The boundary conditions are exactly as the previous cases, so that discretization is the same. We find that

$$\frac{dc_{A}}{dt}\Big|_{\substack{r_{i}=X_{i}}}^{=B_{i},N+2} + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} B_{ij}c_{A}(r_{j},t) + \frac{2}{r_{i}+\beta}[A_{i,N+2} + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} A_{ij}c_{A}(r_{j},t)] + \frac{\alpha c_{B}(r_{j},t)}{\alpha c_{B}(r_{j},t)}$$
(28)

$$\frac{dc_{B}}{dt} \begin{vmatrix} x_{i} = X & y_{j} = 2 \\ y_{j} = 2 & y_{j} = 2 \end{vmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} = 2 & y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} = 2 & y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} + y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{j} + y_{j} \\ y_{j} +$$

again letting $E_A = D_B$.

To calculate the current at any time for the mechanisms listed above under the chronoamperometric experiment, we note the following:

$$i = nFAD_A \left(\frac{d[A]}{dR}\right)_{R=0}$$
 (30)

where A is the electrode surface area and F is the Faraday constant. The flux term is converted to dimensionless terms by insertion of the newly defined variables (4).

$$i = \frac{nFAD\{A^{\circ}\}}{M-r_0} \left(\frac{dc_A}{dr}\right)_{r_1=x_1=0}$$
(31)

The flux term may then be discretized to

$$i = \frac{nFAD[A^{\circ}]}{M-r_0} [A_{1,1}^{c}_{A}(0,t) + A_{1,N+2}^{c}_{A}(1,t) + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} A_{1,j}^{c}_{A}(r_{j},t)]$$
(32)

or

$$i = \frac{n\Gamma AD[A^{\circ}]}{M-r_0} [A_{1,N+2} + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} A_{1,j} c_{\lambda}(r_{j},t)]$$
(33)

after insertion of the known values of $c_{\lambda}(0,t)$ and $c_{\lambda}(1,t)$.

So the current i may be calculated at any time

$$T = \frac{(M-r_0)^2 t}{D}$$
 by insertion of the calculated concentrations from

equations (14) or (28) into equation (33). If there are additional electroactive species in the mechanism, their contribution is simply added into equation (33), since generally,

$$i = \frac{nFAD[A^{\circ}]}{M-r_0} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(\frac{dc_n}{dr}\right)_{r=X_1=0}$$
(34)

where m is the total number of electroactive species.

The charge or spectroelectrochemical absorbance can be calculated by use of Gaussian quadrature weights [4,9,10] for the integration of the current in time or the concentration profiles in time.

Cyclic Voltammetric Response

Several modifications have to be made to the method of Speiser and Rieker [6] to develop the cyclic voltammetric responses for the spherical electrode. We define new dimensionless time and distance variables

$$t = \frac{DTa}{(M-r_0)^2}$$
and
$$r = \frac{(R-r_0)a^{1/2}}{M-r_0}$$
(35)

where

a = nFv/R'T'

with v = the potential sweep rate in volts s^{-1} , R' the gas constant, and T' the temperature. Thus,

$$dT = \frac{(M-r_0)^2 dt}{aD}$$

and

$$dR = \frac{(M-r_0)dr}{a^{1/2}}$$
 (36)

For the simple electron transfer reaction (1), the differential equation (6) becomes

$$\frac{aD[A^{\circ}] \delta c_{A}}{(M-r_{0})^{2} \delta t} = \frac{aD[A^{\circ}] \delta^{2} c_{A}}{(M-r_{0})^{2} \delta r^{2}} + \frac{2D[A^{\circ}]}{((M-r_{0})^{2} r^{2} r_{0})^{2} (M-r_{0})^{2} \delta r^{2}} \delta c_{A}$$
(37)

which simplifies to

$$\frac{\delta c_{A}}{\delta t} = \frac{\delta^{2} c_{A}}{\delta r^{2}} + \frac{2a^{1/2}}{r+\beta} \frac{\delta c_{A}}{\delta r}$$
(38)

The derivation now proceeds as described by Speiser and Rieker [6].

The cyclic voltammetric boundary conditions are

$$[A]_{R;0} = [A]_{\infty,t} = [A^{\circ}]$$

$$[B]_{R;0} = [B]_{\infty,t} = 0$$

$$\frac{\delta[A]}{\delta R}_{R=0} = -\frac{\delta[A]}{\delta R}_{R=0}$$
(39)

$$\frac{[A]_{0,T}}{[B]_{0,T}} = \exp[nF/R'T'(E_{A/B}^{\Theta} - E)] = \theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)$$

Inserting the potential sweep rate (14) v, we have

$$\theta_{A/B} = \exp[nF/R'T'(F_{A/B}^{\Theta} - E_{START})]$$

$$S_{\lambda}(T) = \frac{\exp(-nFvT/R'T') = \exp(-aT) \text{ for } T \leq T_{\lambda}}{\exp(at - 2aT_{\lambda}) \text{ for } T_{\lambda} \leq T \geq 2T}$$
(40)

where T is the time when the forward potential sweep is reversed.

We convert equations (39) to dimensionless form normalized to t and M:

$$c_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r},0) = c_{\mathbf{A}}(1,t) = 1$$

$$c_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{r},0) = c_{\mathbf{B}}(1,t) = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{\delta c_{\mathbf{A}}}{\delta r}\right)_{\mathbf{r}=0} = \left(\frac{-\delta c_{\mathbf{B}}}{\delta r}\right)_{\mathbf{r}=0}$$

$$\frac{c_{\mathbf{A}}(0,t)}{c_{\mathbf{B}}(0,t)} = e_{\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{B}}S_{\lambda}(t)$$
(41)

Discretizing using equations (8a) and (8b) on (38), we have

$$\frac{dc_{\mathbf{A}}}{dt} \begin{vmatrix}
\mathbf{n} + 2 \\
\mathbf{r_{i}} = \mathbf{X}_{i} & \mathbf{j} = 1
\end{vmatrix} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r_{j}}, t) + \frac{2a^{1/2}}{\mathbf{r_{i}} + \beta} \sum_{\mathbf{j} = 1}^{N+2} \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r_{j}}, t) \tag{42}$$

or after partial expansion

$$\frac{dc_{A}}{dt}\Big|_{\substack{r_{i}=X_{i}}}^{=B_{i,1}c_{A}(0,t)+B_{i,N+2}c_{A}(1,t)+\sum_{j=2}^{N+1}B_{ij}c_{A}(r_{j},t)+\\ \frac{2a^{1/2}}{r_{i}+\beta}}^{=B_{i,1}c_{A}(0,t)+B_{i,N+2}c_{A}(1,t)+\sum_{j=2}^{N+1}A_{ij}c_{A}(r_{j},t)\}}$$
(43)

Inserting the explicit boundary conditions, we have

$$\frac{dc_{A}}{dt}\Big|_{\substack{r_{j}=X_{j}}} = B_{i,1}c_{A}(0,t) + B_{i,N+2} + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} B_{ij}c_{A}(r_{j},t) + \frac{2a^{1/2}}{r_{i}+\beta}(A_{i,1}c_{A}(0,t)) + A_{i,N+2} + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} A_{i,j}c_{A}(r_{j},t)}$$
(44)

Identical treatment for the B species yields

$$\frac{dc_{B}}{dt}\Big|_{\substack{r_{i}=X_{i}}}^{=B_{i,1}c_{B}(0,t)+\sum\limits_{j=2}^{N+1}B_{ij}c_{B}(r_{j},t)+\frac{2a^{1/2}}{r_{i}+\beta}} [A_{i,1}c_{B}(0,t)+\sum\limits_{j=2}^{N+1}A_{ij}c_{B}(r_{j},t)]$$
(45)

We discretize the flux relation in (41) to get an equation relating $c_{\rm A}(0,t)$ and $c_{\rm R}(0,t)$, presently implicit boundary conditions.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N+2} A_{k,j} c_{\Lambda}(r_{j},t) = -\sum_{j=1}^{N+2} A_{j,j} c_{E}(r_{j},t)
 \tag{46}$$

Rieker and Speiser [6] showed that after expansion of equation (46), one may then substitute in equation (44) and arrive at the following result giving the time (potential) dependence of $c_{\rm A}(0,t)$ and $c_{\rm B}(0,t)$:

$$c_{A}(0,t) = -\frac{\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)}{A_{1,1}[1+\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)]} A_{1,N+2} + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} A_{1,j}(c_{A}(r_{j},t)+c_{B}(r_{j},t))$$

$$c_{B}(0,t) = -\frac{1}{A_{1,1}[1+\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)]} A_{1,N+2} + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} A_{1,j}(c_{A}(r_{j},t)+c_{B}(r_{j},t))$$
(47)

Inserting these time dependent boundary conditions into the discretized equations (44) and (45) yield the 2N simultaneous first order differential equations necessary to solve for the concentration profiles in time:

$$\frac{dc_{A}}{dt}\bigg|_{\substack{\mathbf{r}_{i}=X_{i}}} = W_{i} + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} F_{ij}c_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{r}_{j},t) + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} G_{ij}c_{R}(\mathbf{r}_{j},t)$$

$$\frac{dc_{B}}{dt}\Big|_{\substack{r_{i}=X_{i}}} = x_{i} + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} H_{ij}c_{A}(r_{j,t}) + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} I_{ij}c_{B}(r_{j,t})$$

with

$$\begin{split} w_{i} &= -\frac{\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)}{A_{1,1}[1+\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)]}[B_{i,1}A_{1,N+2} + \frac{2a^{1/2}}{r_{1}^{2}+\beta}] A_{i,1}A_{1,N+2}] + \\ B_{i,N+2} + \frac{2a^{1/2}}{r_{1}^{2}+\beta} A_{i,N+2} \\ F_{ij} &= B_{ij} + \frac{2a^{1/2}}{r_{1}^{2}+\beta} A_{ij} - \frac{2a^{1/2}A_{i,1}A_{1,j}\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)}{(r_{1}^{2}+\beta)A_{1,1}[1+\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)]} - \\ &= \frac{B_{i,1}A_{1,j}\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)}{A_{1,1}[1+\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)]} \\ G_{ij} &= \frac{\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)}{A_{1,1}[1+\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)]}[B_{i,1}A_{1,j} + \frac{2a^{1/2}A_{i,1}A_{1,j}}{(r_{i}^{2}+\beta)}] \\ W_{i} &= -\frac{1}{A_{1,1}[1+\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)]}[B_{i,1}A_{1,j} + \frac{2a^{1/2}A_{i,1}A_{1,j}}{r_{i}^{2}+\beta}] \\ H_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{A_{1,1}[1+\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)]}[B_{i,1}A_{1,j} - \frac{2a^{1/2}A_{i,1}A_{1,j}}{r_{i}^{2}+\beta}] \\ I_{ij} &= B_{ij} + \frac{2a^{1/2}}{r_{i}^{2}+\beta}A_{ij} - \frac{2a^{1/2}A_{i,1}A_{1,j}}{(r_{i}^{2}+\beta)A_{1,1}[1+\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)]} - \\ &= \frac{B_{i,1}A_{1,j}}{A_{1,1}[1+\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)]} \end{split}$$

The 2N equations (48) are solved simultaneously as before to find the $c_A(r_j,t)$ and $c_B(r_j,t)$.

The current response is represented similar to the chronoamperometric case:

$$i = nFAD_{\Lambda} \left(\frac{\delta \left[\Lambda \right]}{\delta R} \right)_{R=0}$$
 (49)

or in the dimensionless space

$$i = \frac{nFAD_{A}[A^{\circ}]a^{1/2}}{(M-r_{0})} \left(\frac{dc_{A}}{dr}\right)_{r_{1}=X_{1}=0}$$
(50)

Discretizing the flux and inserting the boundary conditions leads to (6)

$$\frac{dc_{A}}{dr} \Big|_{r_{1}=X_{1}} = \frac{1}{1+\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)} [\Lambda_{1,N+2} + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} \Lambda_{1,j} c_{A}(r_{j},t) - \theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)$$

$$N+1$$

$$\sum_{j=2}^{N+1} \Lambda_{1,j} c_{B}(r_{j},t)]$$
(51)

So that

$$i = \frac{nFAD_{A}[A^{\circ}]a^{1/2}}{(M-r_{0})} \left[\frac{1}{1+\theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)}[A_{1,N+2} + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} A_{1,j}c_{A}(r_{j},t) - \theta_{A/B}S_{\lambda}(t)\right]$$

$$\sum_{j=2}^{N+1} A_{j,j} c_{B}(r_{j,t})$$
(52)

The concentrations $c_A(r_i,t)$ and $c_B(r_i,t)$ found at each time $T = (M-r_0)^2 t/aD \text{ are used in equation (52) to determine the current}$ as a function of time and hence potential by the relation

$$E = \begin{cases} E_{\text{START}} + \frac{(M-r_0)^2 t R'T'}{nFD} & t \le t \\ E_{\text{START}} + 2vt_{\lambda} - \frac{(M-r_0)^2 t R'T'}{nFD} & t \le t \le 2t \end{cases}$$
 (53)

where to is the dimensionless potential sweep reversal time

$$t_{\lambda} = \frac{aDT_{\lambda}}{(M-r_0)^2}$$

Chronopotentiometry

The chronopotentiometric case for planar electrodes was described in a previous paper. For a chronopotentiometric response to the simple reversible charge transfer reaction, we must consider the following conditions:

$$[A]_{r,0} = [A]_{\infty,T} = [A^{\circ}]$$

$$[B]_{r,0} = [B]_{\infty,T} = 0$$

$$E + E_{A/B} - \frac{R^{*}T^{*}}{nF} \ln[B]_{r_{0}}$$
(54)

$$\left(\frac{\delta [A]}{\delta R}\right)_{r_0} = -\left(\frac{\delta [B]}{\delta R}\right)_{r_0}$$

$$i = nFAD_A \left(\frac{\delta [A]}{\delta r}\right)_{R=r_0} = Constant$$
(55)

The new dimensionless parameters are

$$t = T/\tau \qquad r = \frac{R-r_0}{M-r_0} \tag{56}$$

with τ the transition time of the experiment. Insertion of these values into the differential equation (3) and simplification yields

$$\frac{\delta c_{A}}{\delta t} = \alpha \frac{\delta^{2} c_{A}}{\delta r} + \frac{2\alpha}{r + \beta} \frac{\delta c_{A}}{\delta r}$$
 (57)

where

$$\alpha = \frac{D\tau}{(M-r_0)^2}$$

The conditions (54-55) in dimensionless form become

$$c_{A}(r,0) = c_{A}(1,t) = 1$$

$$c_{B}(r,0) = c_{B}(1,t) = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{\delta c_{A}}{\delta r}\right)_{r_{0}} = -\left(\frac{\delta c_{B}}{\delta r}\right)_{r_{0}}$$

$$i = \frac{nFAD[A^{\circ}]}{(M-r_{0})} \left(\frac{dc_{\Lambda}}{dr}\right)_{r_{0}} = \frac{nFAD^{1/2}\alpha^{1/2}}{\tau^{1/2}} \frac{nFAD^{1/2}[A^{\circ}]\alpha^{1/2}}{\tau^{1/2}} \left(\frac{dc_{\Lambda}}{dr}\right)_{r_{0}}$$
(59)

The flux relation at the electrode is given from (8a)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dc_{A}}{dr} \end{pmatrix}_{r_{0}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N+2} A_{1,j} c_{A}(r_{j,t})$$

 $\left(\frac{dc_{B}}{dr}\right)_{r_{A}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N+2} A_{1,j} c_{B}(r_{j,t})$

Upon entering the known boundary conditions and expanding, and then substituting these into (59) we have

$$c_{A}(0,t) = Q - \sum_{\substack{j=2 \ j=2}}^{N+1} c_{A}(r_{j,t})$$
 $c_{B}(0,t) = R - \sum_{\substack{j=2 \ j=2}}^{N+1} c_{B}(r_{j,t})$

with

$$Q = \frac{1}{A_{1,1}} \left[\frac{i_1^{1/2}}{nF\Lambda[A^\circ]D^{1/2}\alpha^{1/2}} - A_{1,N+2} \right]$$

$$R = \frac{1}{A_{1,1}} \left[\frac{i\tau^{1/2}}{nFA[A^{\circ}]D^{1/2}a^{1/2}} \right]$$

$$a_{j} = \frac{A_{1,j}}{A_{1,1}}$$

The discretized equations for A and B are thus

$$\frac{dc_{A}}{dt}\Big|_{\substack{r_{i}=X_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N+2} B_{ij}c_{A}(r_{j},t) + \frac{2\alpha}{r_{i}+\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{N+2} A_{ij}c_{A}(r_{j},t)}} \frac{dc_{B}}{dt}\Big|_{\substack{r_{i}=X_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N+2} B_{ij}c_{B}(r_{j},t) + \frac{2\alpha}{r_{i}+\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{N+2} A_{ij}c_{B}(r_{j},t)}} \frac{N+2}{\sum_{j=1}^{N+2} A_{ij}c_{B}(r_{j},t)}$$
(62)

The known boundary conditions from equations (58) and (61) are entered, and we have

$$\frac{dc_{A}}{dt} \Big|_{\substack{r_{i} = X_{i}}} = \alpha Q_{i}^{i} + \alpha \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} b_{ij} c_{A}(r_{j}, t)$$

$$\frac{dc_{B}}{dt} \Big|_{\substack{r_{i} = X_{i}}} = \alpha R_{i}^{i} + \alpha \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} b_{ij} c_{B}(r_{j}, t)$$

$$(63)$$

where

$$Q_{i}' = B_{i,1}Q + B_{i,N+2} + \frac{2\alpha}{r_{i}+\beta} (A_{i,1}Q + A_{i,N+2})$$

$$R_{i}' = B_{i,1}R + \frac{2A_{i,1}R}{r_{i}+\beta}$$

$$b_{ij} = -B_{i,1}a_j + B_{ij} = \frac{2}{r_i^+} (A_{i,1}a_j - A_{ij})$$

The usual display of the response is given by

$$E = E_{A/B} - \frac{R^{\dagger}T^{\dagger}}{nF} \ln \left(\frac{c_B(0,t)}{c_A(0,t)} \right)$$
 (64)

where the two concentration terms in brackets are found from equation (61). The concentration terms in (61) are found by integration of the simultaneous equation (63) by the methods described [1,4,5,9] previously.

REFERENCES

- L.F. Whiting and P.W. Carr, J. Electroanal. Chem. 81, 1 (1977).
- 2. A. Bewick, J. Mellor, and B.S. Pons, Electrochimica Acta 23, 77 (1978).
- 3. A. Bewick, J. Mellor, and B.S. Pons, Electrochimica Acta 25, 931 (1980).
- 4. B.S. Pons and P.P. Schmidt, Electrochimica Acta 25, 987 (1980).
- 5. B. S. Pons, Electrochemica Acta, in press.
- 6. B. Speiser and A. Rieker, J. Electroanal. Chem. 102, 1 (1979).
- 7. B. Speiser, J. Electroanal. Chem. <u>110</u>, 69 (1980).
- J. Villadsen, "Selected Approximation Methods for Chemical Engineering Problems", Reproset, Copenhagen, 1970.
- J. Villadsen and M.L. Michelsen, "Solution of Differential Equation Models by Polynomial Approximation", Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1978.
- 10. J. Villadsen and W.E. Stewart, Chem. Eng. Sci. 22, 1483 (1967).
- 11. J. Villadsen and J.P. Sorenson, Chem. Eng. Sci. 24, 1337 (1969).
- 12. L.F. Whiting and P.W. Carr, Anal. Chem. 50, 1997 (1978).
- 13. See references by B.A. Finlayson in Richard Bellman (ed), Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 87, Academic Press,
 New York, 1972.
- 14. R.S. Nicholson and I. Shain, Anal. Chem. 36, 706 (1964).

Table 1

Time, sec	exact, ma	isinulated, ma
1 × 10 ⁻⁵	1.7104	1.7104
1×10^{-4}	0.5411	0.5412
1×10^{-3}	0.1713	0.1712
1×10^{-2}	0.0054	0.0054
1 × 10 ⁻¹	0.0017	0.0017
1 × 10 ⁰	0.0001	0.0001

Comparison of exact and simulated currents for the simple change transfer chronoamperometric experiment. (N = 6 collocation points.) See text for parameters.

rigure Caption

Figure 1. Spherical electrode geometry.

$$\frac{M}{R} = 0$$

$$\frac{dC_i}{dR} = 0$$

$$\frac{1111}{X_i}$$

This work was supported in part by a grant from the Office of Naval Research, Washington, D.C.

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 472 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 ONR Branch Office Attn: Dr. George Sandoz Street Chicago, Illinois 60605 U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709 Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney San Diego, California 92152	1 1
Attn: Code 472 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 ONR Branch Office Attn: Dr. George Sandoz Street Attn: Dr. George Sandoz Street Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney San Diego, California 92152	1
Arlington, Virginia 22217 2 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709 ONR Branch Office Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. George Sandoz Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney 536 S. Clark Street San Diego, California 92152	1
ONR Branch Office Attn: Dr. George Sandoz 536 S. Clark Street Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney San Diego, California 92152	1
Attn: Dr. George Sandoz Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney 536 S. Clark Street San Diego, California 92152	
536 S. Clark Street San Diego, California 92152	
Chinago Illinois 60605	1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
Naval Weapons Center	1
ONR Area Office Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster,	1
Attn: Scientific Dept. Chemistry Division	1
715 Broadway China Lake, California 93555	
New York, New York 10003	
Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory	
ONR Western Regional Office Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko	
1030 East Green Street Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
Pasadena, California 91106	
Department of Physics & Chemistry	
ONR Eastern/Central Regional Office Naval Postgraduate School	
Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles Monterey, California 93940	1
Building 114, Section D	
666 Summer Street Dr. A. L. Slafkosky	
Boston, Massachusetts 02210 1 Scientific Advisor	
Commandant of the Marine Corps	
Director, Naval Research Laboratory (Code RD-1)	1
Attn: Code 6100 Washington, D.C. 20380	ī
Washington, D.C. 20390	
The Assistant Secretary Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller	
of the Navy (RE&S) 800 N. Quincy Street	1
Department of the Navy Arlington, Virginia 22217	•
Room 4E736, Pentagon	
Washington, D.C. 20350 1 Naval Ship Research and Development Center	
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian, Applied	
Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) Chemistry Division	_
Department of the Navy Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Washington, D.C. 20360 1	
Naval Ocean Systems Center	
Defense Technical Information Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine	
Building 5, Cameron Station Sciences Division	
Alexandria, Virginia 22314 12 San Diego, California 91232	1
Dr. Fred Saalfeld Mr. John Boyle	
Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Materials Branch	
Naval Research Laboratory Naval Ship Engineering Center	
Washington, D.C. 20375 1 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1

472:GAN:716:ddc 78u472-608

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

No. Copies

Dr. Rudolph J. Marcus
Office of Naval Research
Scientific Liaison Group
American Embassy
APO San Francisco 96503 1

Mr. James Kelley
DTNSRDC Code 2803
Annapolis, Maryland 21402 1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

	No. Copies		No. Copies
Pr. Paul Delahay		Dr. P. J. Hendra	
Department of Chemistry		Department of Chemistry	
New York University		University of Southhampton	
New York, New York 10003	1	Southhampton SO9 5NH	
		United Kingdom	1
Dr. E. Yeager			
Department of Chemistry		Dr. Sam Perone	
Case Western Reserve University		Department of Chemistry	
Cleveland, Ohio 41106	1	Purdue University	
		West Lafayette, Indiana 47907	1
Dr. D. N. Bennion			
Department of Chemical Engineering		Dr. Royce W. Murray	
Brigham Young University	•	Department of Chemistry	
Provo, Utah 84602	1	University of North Carolina	_
S. S. A. Maria		Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514	1
Dr. R. A. Marcus			
Department of Chemistry		Naval Ocean Systems Center	
California Institute of Technology	•	Attn: Technical Library	_
Pasadena, California 91125	1	San Diego, California 92152	1
Dr. J. J. Auborn		Dr. C. E. Mueller	
Bell Laboratories		The Electrochemistry Branch	
Murray Hill, New Jersev 07974	1	Materials Division, Research	
		& Technology Department	
Dr. Adam Heller		Naval Surface Weapons Center	
Bell Laboratories		White Oak Laboratory	
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974	1	Silver Spring, Maryland 20910	1
Dr. T. Katan		Dr. G. Goodman	
Lockheed Missiles & Space		Globe-Union Incorporated	
Co, Inc.		5757 North Green Bay Avenue	_
P.O. Box 504		Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201	1
Sunnyvale, California 94088	1		
D		Dr. J. Boechler	
Dr. Joseph Singer, Code 302-1 NASA-Lewis		Electrochimica Corporation	
21000 Brookpark Road		Attention: Technical Library	
	•	2485 Charleston Road	•
Cleveland, Ohio 44135	1	Mountain View, California 94040	1
Dr. B. Brummer		Dr. P. P. Schmidt	
EIC Incorporated		Department of Chemistry	
55 Chapel Street		Oakland University	
Newton, Massachusetts 02158	1	Rochester, Michigan 48063	1
Library		Dr. H. Richtol	
P. R. Mallory and Company, Inc.		Chemistry Department	
Northwest Industrial Park		Rensselser Polytechnic Institute	
Burlington, Massachusetts 01803	1	Troy, New York 12181	1
The state of the s	•	itoy, new lock 12101	4

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

	No. Copies		No. Copies
Dr. A. B. Ellis		Dr. R. P. Van Duyne	
Chemistry Department		Department of Chemistry	
University of Wisconsin		Northwestern University	
Madison, Wisconsin 53706	1	Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
Dr. M. Wrighton		B. Stanley Pons	
Chemistry Department		Department of Chemistry	
Massachusetts Institute		University Afterta	
of Technology	_	Edmonton, Alberta	
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	CANADA T6G 2G2	1
Larry F. Plew		Dr. Michael J. Weaver	
Naval Weapons Support Center		Department of Chemistry	
Code 30736, Building 2906	•	Michigan State University	_
Crane, Indiana 47522	1	East Lansing, Michigan 48824	1
S. Rubv		Dr. R. David Rauh	
DOE (STOR)		EIC Corporation	
600 E Street		55 Chapel Street	
Washington, D.C. 20545	1	Newton, Massachusetts 02158	1
Dr. Aaron Wold		Dr. J. David Margerum	
Brown University		Research Laboratories Division	
Department of Chemistry	_	Hughes Aircraft Company	
Providence, Rhode Island 02192	1	3011 Malibu Canyon Road Malibu, California 90265	1
Dr. R. C. Chudacek			-
McGraw-Edison Company		Dr. Martin Fleischmann	
Edison Battery Division		Department of Chemistry	
Post Office Box 28		University of Southampton	
Bloomfield, New Jersey 07003	1	Southampton 509 5NH England	1
Dr. A. J. Bard		Dr. Janet Osteryoung	
University of Texas		Department of Chemistry	
Department of Chemistry		State University of New	
Austin, Texas 78712	1	York at Buffalo	
		Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Dr. M. M. Nicholson			
Electronics Research Center		Dr. R. A. Osteryoung	
Rockwell International		Department of Chemistry	
3370 Miraloma Avenue	•	State University of New	
Anaheim, California	1	York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Dr. Donald W. Ernst		-	
Naval Surface Weapons Center		Mr. James R. Moden	
Code R-33		Naval Underwater Systems	
White Oak Lahoratory		Center	
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910	1	Code 3632	
		Newport, Rhode Island 02840	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

	No. Copies		No. Copies
Dr. R. Nowak		Dr. John Kincaid	1
Naval Research Laboratory		Department of the Navy	
Code 6130		Stategic Systems Project Office	
Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Room 901	
Do John B. Houliban		Washington, DC 20376	
Dr. John F. Houlihan			
Shenango Valley Campus		M. L. Robertson	
Pennsylvania State University	,	Manager, Electrochemical	
Sharon, Pennsylvania 16146	1	Power Sonices Division	
D. V. C. C		Naval Weapons Support Center	_
Dr. M. G. Sceats		Crane, Indiana 47522	1
Department of Chemistry		·	
University of Rochester	•	Dr. Elton Cairns	
Rochester, New York 14627	1	Energy & Environment Division	
		Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory	
Dr. D. F. Shriver		University of California	
Department of Chemistry		Berkeley, California 94720	1
Northwestern University			
Evanston, Illinois 60201	1	Dr. Bernard Spielvogel	
		U.S. Army Research Office	
Dr. D. H. Whitmore		P.O. Box 12211	
Department of Materials Science		Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	1
Northwestern University			
Evanston, Illinois 60201	1	Dr. Denton Elliott	
		Air Force Office of	
Dr. Alan Bewick		Scientific Research	
Department of Chemistry		Bldg. 104	
The University		Bolling AFB	
Southampton, SO9 5NH England	1	Washington, DC 20332	1
Dr. A. Himy			
NAVSEA-5433			
NC #4			
2541 Jefferson Davis Highway			
Arlington, Virginia 20362	1		

